

Randy Auman
Vice-Chair

→ Region 41

Chair

, 100 011011

Meeting Minutes

November 30, 2004 10:00 am DPS Conference Room 4501 South 2700 West Salt Lake

Attendance

Steve Proctor	UCAN
Ken Imber	SLC Airport
Floyd Ritter	State ITS
Scott Mattsen	South Salt Lake City PD
Steve McCarthy	State DOT
Tim Slocum	State Corrections
Steven Whittaker	SLC IMS
Larry Maughn	Salt Lake Community College
Phil Titus	KUED
Jerry Rogers	Transportation Sec. Admin.

St. George PD
Motorola Motorola
State ITS
UCAN
State ITS
State DPS
Emery County
Salt Lake City
San Juan County

^{*} Attended via phone conference.

Latest Version of Plan: (1.2)

Steve Proctor wanted the committee to understand the importance of editing from a single point, and not having everyone making simultaneous changes to the latest published version. Please send any recommended changes to a committee member. Steve went through each section of the latest plan.

The **Table of Contents** was taken from the recommendation of the NCC.

Contributions Section: Add St. George City to the list of contributing agencies.

Section Two Action Item: (Doug Chandler) Add all members that have participated in the meetings.

A copy of the completed plan will need to be sent to the surrounding regions for approval.

We should better define the technical parameters of the application document that agencies will fill out and submit to request use of spectrum.

We should form a Frequency Approval Committee. Comprised of five members: One would be the current RPC chair, plus four other members elected from among the committee.

Section Six Action Item: (Floyd Ritter) Create text for 6.3 - 6.13 (as approved by subcommittee).

Boyd Webb went briefly through section 7 (Interference Protection). A geographic model is being recommended to address possible interference. Boyd also addressed section 8. Each of the 29 counties will be pre-allocated 10 channels, leaving the rest of the channels in the general use, to be applied for by agencies who need to use them. Missouri pre-allocated all of the general use channels, but we are recommending keeping the majority of channels in the general use spectrum. Floyd Ritter questioned if the FCC was looking for a workable plan, or just something that is politically expedient. The current version of the plan pre-allocates 10 *adjacent* channels to each county. These channels, being adjacent, make deployment of all ten channels in a closely spaced region difficult.

The discussion brought out that what we are planning today would probably be unlike what is literally deployed 10 years from now. The process is more important than the allocations.

Boyd has been working with Phil Titus and Ron Titcomb (UofU television) to ensure TV and public safety needs can both be met while in the transition period. Brett Mills with Emery County pointed out problems with channel 63 causing interference with cell phone companies' GPS information in his region. On Farnsworth Peak, both 63 and 64 are in operation and directed toward Tooele County. We can operate in 64 but not 63 in the Salt Lake Valley. 63 would cause interference with Lewis Peak.

100% of the Wideband data channels will be allocated on a first-come first-serve basis.

Section 12 has been giving Boyd Webb and Jeff Dial's sub-committee some headaches. Since no agencies have come forth with plans to utilize the spectrum, it is difficult to address how the plan best utilizes the spectrum. Doug Chandler noted that by the very fact that we are keeping so many channels in the general use 'pot', we are safe-guarding the best use of the spectrum in the future.

Action Item (Steve Proctor): In the 'Future Planning' section 13, Steve said he would address meetings –including upcoming APCO meetings.

Regarding future applications, it was discussed and agreed that an approval committee would be via open election of four individuals (plus the chair for a total of 5). Steve briefly discussed how the procedure worked through the 800MHz committee. All coordinators will be using CAPRAD.

Steve Proctor went through each of the appendices and discussed how they pertain to the body of the document.

Federal Participation

Jerry Rogers asked what has been done in the RPC planning process to address federal plans - such as the IWIN system. There was some discussion about how the interoperability channels were set aside to ensure the broadest range of participation. Funding the implementation of these channels will probably be tied to grants that will be used to implement future 700MHz systems. Steve Proctor said that he would discuss this further in a SAFECOM meeting that he will be

attending in January. Steve will have further information on this in the February 700 RPC meeting.

Please Email any suggested changes to the plan directly to Steve Proctor (steve@ucan800.org). Doug Chandler will get the latest draft posted ASAP. http://uwin.utah.gov/700mhzrpc/700mhzrpcfiles/Region_41_Plan_REV%201.2.pdf

4.9GHz Subcommittee

Tim Cornia covered the FCC's 'stay' on the deadline for states to submit their plans for use of the 4.9GHz spectrum. The 'stay' was for 6 months. The big reason for the stay involved arguments over the 'mask'. Tim predicted that at the end of the six-month period, the stay will not be extended because a consensus has apparently been reached. You can purchase 4.9GHz equipment today –you just can't license it.

Steve reported that he was going to sign Colorado's inter-regional agreement'—and probably use it as a format for our own when we're ready to send our plan out to the surrounding regions.

Next URPC Meeting

Friday February 18, 2005 10:00am – 12:00 noon Valley Emergency Communications Center 5360 South Ridge Village Drive (5885 W 5400 South)